Cost / Schedule Executive Session Director's CD-2/3a Review of the NOvA Project

June 4-6, 2006

L. Edward Temple, Jr.

Agenda (continued)

Tuesday, Jun. 05		
8:00 - 8:30 AM	Cost and Schedule Executive Session	Ed Temple
	(Comitium, WH2SE)	
8:30 – 8:45 AM	Cost and Schedule Methodology	Bill Freeman
	(Comitium, WH2SE)	
8:45 – 10:45 AM	BREAKOUT SESSIONS	
	1) Site and Building (Confessional –	Steve Dixon*
	WH5NE)	
	2) Commodities - Scintillator, Fiber,	Rich Talaga*
	PVC (Snake Pit – WH2NE)	
	3) Far and Near Detector Assembly (The	Dave Ayres*
	Req. Room – WH4NW)	
	4) Electronics and DAQ (Hornets Nest -	Leon Mualem*
	WH8X)	
	5) Extrusion Module Production (Black	Ken Heller*
	Hole – WH2NW)	
	6) Accelerator and NuMI Upgrades	Elaine
	(Racetrack – WH7X)	McCluskey*
	7) Cost, Schedule and Management	John Cooper*
	(Comitium, WH2SE)	_

^{*} Notes Breakout Session Lead

Agenda (continued)

Tuesday, Jun. 05	
10:45 - 11:00AM	BREAK (Outside Comitium, WH2SE)
11:00 – 12:45 PM	BREAKOUT SESSIONS – Continued
	(Same breakouts and locations as for the
	8:45 – 10:45 AM sessions)
12:45 – 1:45 PM	LUNCH (WH2 Crossover)
1:45 - 2:45 PM	NOvA Respond to Committee Questions
	from 1 st Day (Comitium, WH2SE)
2:45 - 6:30 PM	Executive Session and Report Writing
	(Comitium, WH2SE) Breaks taken as
	necessary.

|--|

8:00 – 9:30 PM	Subcommittee Working Sessions and
	Report Writing
10:00 - 2:00 PM	Committee Closeout Dry Run with working
	lunch (Comitium, WH2SE) Breaks taken as
	necessary.
2:00 PM	Closeout ((Hornets Nest - WH8X,
	Overflow in Racetrack – WH7X)

These are CD-2 Requirements.

The cost/schedule reviews are key elements of the CD-2 Performance (Technical, Cost, Schedule) Baseline Reviews.

1) This Director's Review
2)Lehman DOE Review
3)EIR – External
Independent Review?

Project Technical, Cost, and Schedule Baseline Development

To Succeed in Cost / Schedule Arena

Estimate must be

Complete

Scope well understood and defined
Technical goal must be clear
Technology to be used to meet this goal known
Designate how technical systems will be acquired
I.e. buy, have fabricated, self fabricated
Buy parts / fabricate / assemble
How will this be accomplished

Self fabricate / assemble – lab or university(ies)
How will person power requirements be met
And paid for

All tasks defined and specified in a work breakdown structure WBS dictionary

Documented at lowest level of WBS and include

M&S – materials and services
SWF – salaries, wages, & fringes
Accompanied by schedule showing appropriate durations
Adders – overheads / G&A (general & administrative)
Escalated – shown both with and without escalation with funding
profile based on laboratory/DOE/Federal
budget/appropriation guidance

(Continued)

Reviewable

Estimate must "roll-up" from the lowest level to the total and reviewers must be able to drill down from the top to the lowest level

Credible

Basis of estimate must be specified

Catalog prices

Similar work, where cost is documented

Engineering estimates

WAG – wild ass guess

This material forms basis for DOE approving a baseline, for Fermilab/Collaboration Project Management to measure performance and take appropriate corrective actions during execution and for Laboratory Management and DOE to monitor progress.

(Continued)

Baseline Reviews

When preparing a baseline, it can be helpful to be aware of and prepared for the types of things a Director's Technical/Cost/Schedule/Management Review Committee or a DOE Baseline Review Committee will be looking for. The following provides some insight into such reviews. Review Committees are frequently broken up into subgroups which are then assigned to look at specific systems or subprojects within a project.

To be available for reviewers one week prior to the review

Conceptual &/or Technical Design Reports

Design Review materials (web address was provided)

Materials presented at most recent design review for system

Detailed schedule for system (to be looked at during breakout sessions)

Cost Estimate Details for system (will be provided at low levels of the WBS)

Including WBS Dictionary and BOE – Basis of Estimate detail sheets

(BOE notebooks will be available in breakout rooms)

Tabbed hardcopies of review materials and presentations to be available at the review. Enough for committee, observers, and a half dozen extras

(Continued)

Technical / Cost / Schedule / Management Review Guidelines (things reviewers are asked to do)

Technical

Examine Design Review Materials (including TDRs & CDRs) for your system Assess level at which scope is understood and defined Assess level that technical aspects of the system are understood, planned, designed, procured/fabricated and/or prototyped

Cost

Choose >~5 top level WBS elements from your system

Drill down to successively lower levels of the WBS; while at each step

Understanding the scope of that element

Understanding the schedule for that element

Understanding the basis of estimate (BOE) for both M&S and

effort for that element

Choose a few elements next lowest level of the WBS

*And repeat this procedure until you get to the bottom level.

I.e., the lowest level of the WBS

Choose >~5 items in the system for which you have personal experience Interact with the responsible managers to **determine if**The Estimate is complete, documented, reviewable, and

credible

Director's CD-2/3a Review of the NOvA Project

(Continued)

Check that there is a detailed BOE for all work elements in your system

Check whether the **estimate for your system "rolls-up"** from the lowest level WBS element to the total for your system

Does each level of the WBS contain all costs from lower level WBS elements

Assess the "bottoms up" contingency that the WBS level 3 managers would assign their components.

Assess the "top down" contingency analysis assignments by the Project Manager

Schedule

Is there a detailed schedule, including a critical path, for completing the project? Are milestones appropriate in number and type identified so that the project teams, Fermilab management, and DOE can effectively track and manage progress? Based on past experience, can the proposed schedules be met? Are appropriate schedule contingencies provided? Is there a "resource loaded schedule" and plan for providing the needed resources (M&S and technical support staff and physicists)?

(Continued)

Funding

Have techniques such as forward funding by collaborators and phased funding of large contracts been appropriately incorporated into the planning? Does the anticipated funding profile support the resource requirements?

Management

Is an **appropriate / adequate project organizational structure** in place and **staffed** (or are plans in place) to do the job.

Has the **appropriate project management documentation** been prepared. Is it of a quality adequate for this stage of the project? Are **appropriate / adequate management systems** (Cost and Schedule Control System / Earned Value Reporting, Critical Path Management, Risk Management, etc.) in place or planned for use during project execution?

Reviewer Assignments

Executive Summary	Ed Temple
1.0 Introduction	<u>Dean Hoffer</u>
2.0 Science	Heidi Schellman,
	and All
3.0 Site and Building (WBS 1/2.1)	Karen Hellman,
	Jeff Sims
4.0 Commodities – Scintillator/Fiber/PVC (WBS 1/2.2, 1/2.3 & 1/2.4)	Linda Stutte,
	Joe Ingraffia
5.0 Extrusion Module Production (WBS 1/2.5)	Alan Bross,
	Heidi Schellman
6.0 Electronics, Trigger DAQ (WBS 1/2.6 & 1/2.7)	Jonathan Lewis,
	Eric James
7.0 Far and Near Detector Assembly (WBS 1/2.8 & 2.9)	Richard Boyce,
	Pat Hurh
	Charlie Cooper
8.0 Accelerator Upgrades (WBS 1/2.0.1, 1/2.0.2)	Thomas Roser,
	Rod Gerig
9.0 NuMI Beamline Upgrades (WBS 1/2.0.3, 1.0.4)	Phil Martin,
a) Beamline / Target Modifications	Sayed Rokni
b) Shielding	-
10.0 Cost and Schedule	<u>Bill Boroski,</u>
	Dean Hoffer
11.0 Project Management (WBS 1.9 & 2.10)	Mike Lindgren,
	Ed Temple

[•] Note underlined names are the primary writer.

Reviewer Assignments (continued)

12.0 Charge Questions	
TECHNICAL	
12.1 Are the technical specifications clearly stated and documented?	Heidi Schellman, Tom Roser
12.2 Can the design be built? Does the design meet the technical specifications? Is it a reasonable design? 12.3 Does the baseline design meet the project's objectives (mission need)?	Heidi Schellman, Tom Roser Heidi Schellman, Tom Roser
COST	
12.4 Is the Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) appropriate for the project scope?	Bill Boroski, Dean Hoffer
12.5 Do the cost estimates for each WBS (or cost) element have a sound documented basis and are they reasonable?	
12.6 Does an obligation profile exist? How does it compare with the funding guidance?	
SCHEDULE	
12.7 Is the schedule well developed and appropriately structured by specifying relationships, predecessors, successors, critical path, resource loaded, etc?	<u>Dean Hoffer,</u> Bill Boroski
12.8 Are the durations for the activities and overall schedule reasonable and achievable with the assumed resources?	
12.9 Does the schedule contain appropriate levels of milestones, sufficient quantity of milestones for tracking progress and do they appear to be achievable?	
12.10 Does the schedule include activities for design reviews, which include assessment of the designs readiness for procuring prototypes, preproduction and production materials?	

[•] Note underlined names are the primary writer.

Reviewer Assignments (continued)

MANAGEMENT	
12.11 Is there an appropriate management organizational structure in place	Mike Lindgren,
to accomplish the design and construction?	Bill Boroski
12.12 Is the organization structure well documented, responsibilities defined	
and appropriate for the scope of work?	
12.13 Are there adequate staffing resources available or planned for this	
effort?	
12.14 Is there a funding plan available or proposed to meet the resource	
requirements to realize the project?	
12.15 Has a Risk Plan been developed, risks identified, risks analyzed, risk	
responses planned/implemented, risk monitoring/control process established	
and do they seem appropriate?	
PROCUREMENT	
12.16 Have the critical procurements been identified and are they included in	Joe Ingraffia,
the schedule with adequate lead time built in?	Mike Lindgren
12.17 Have critical make vs. buy decisions been evaluated in conjunction	Joe Ingraffia,
with the scope and is that reflected in the baseline cost estimate, schedule	Mike Lindgren
and technical risk plan?	
12.18 Are the Project designs final and procurement packages prepared to	Joe Ingraffia,
the degree appropriate to order materials and initiate construction as	Mike Lindgren
scheduled?	

[•] Note underlined names are the primary writer.

Reviewer Assignments for Breakouts

1) Site and Building (Confessional, WH5NE)	Karen Hellman,
	Jeff Sims
2) Commodities – Scintillator/Fiber/PVC (Snake Pit – WH2NE)	Joe Ingraffia,
	Linda Stutte
3) Far and Near Detector Assembly (The Req. Room – WH4W)	Richard Boyce,
	Charlie Cooper,
	Pat Hurh
4) Electronics and DAQ (Hornets Nest - WH8)	Jonathan Lewis,
	Eric James
5) Extrusion Module Production (Black Hole – WH2NW)	Alan Bross,
	Heidi Schellman
6) Accelerator and NuMI Beamline Upgrades (Racetrack – WH7X)	Rod Gerig,
	Phil Martin,
	Sayed Rockni,
	Thomas Roser
7), Cost, Schedule and Management (Comitium, WH2SE)	Bill Boroski,
	Mike Lindgren,
	Dean Hoffer,
	Ed Temple

Project's Cost & Contingency Estimate

	NOvA 's Cost Estimate AY \$M																		
			Es	stimated	Co	st (with	inc	lirects)		Conti	nge	ncy Est	ima	te	Co	ntingency	<i>ı</i> %	Total	
	WBS	Items		M&S	L	abor ¹		Total	ı	M&S	L	abor ¹	7	Γotal	M&S	Labor ¹	Total		Cost
	2.0	Accelerator & NuMI Upgrades	\$	13.2	\$	20.5	\$	33.7	\$	4.4	\$	6.5	\$	11.0	34%	32%	33%	\$	44.7
	2.1	Far Detector Site and Building	\$	-	\$	1.9	\$	1.9	\$	-	\$	0.5	\$	0.5	0%	24%	24%	\$	2.4
	2.2	Liquid Scintillator	\$	23.0	\$	0.4	\$	23.4	\$	6.1	\$	0.3	\$	6.5	27%	87%	28%	\$	29.8
	2.3	Wave-Length-Shifting Fiber	\$	12.3	\$	1.2	\$	13.6	\$	3.4	\$	0.1	\$	3.6	28%	10%	26%	\$	17.1
	2.4	PVC Extrusions	\$	28.4	\$	1.7	\$	30.1	\$	8.0	\$	0.6	\$	8.6	28%	35%	28%	\$	38.7
	2.5	PVC Modules	\$	6.8	\$	8.6	\$	15.4	\$	2.0	\$	3.7	\$	5.7	29%	43%	37%	\$	21.1
TEC	2.6	Electronics Production	\$	14.3	\$	1.1	\$	15.4	\$	6.2	\$	0.6	\$	6.8	43%	53%	44%	\$	22.2
	2.7	Data Acquisition System	\$	1.6	\$	1.8	\$	3.4	\$	0.4	\$	0.5	\$	0.9	25%	29%	27%	\$	4.3
	2.8	Near Detector Assembly	\$	3.6	\$	0.4	\$	4.1	\$	1.5	\$	0.2	\$	1.7	40%	50%	41%	\$	5.7
	2.9	Far Detector Assembly	\$	7.9	\$	6.0	\$	13.9	\$	4.8	\$	6.0	\$	10.8	61%	100%	78%	\$	24.8
	2.10	Project Management	\$	0.6	\$	5.7	\$	6.3	\$	0.1	\$	-	\$	0.1	25%	0%	2%	\$	6.4
		Subtotal Construction	\$	111.7	\$	49.5	\$	161.2	\$	36.9	\$	19.1	\$	56.0	33%	39%	35%	\$	217.2
	R&D -	Accelerator	\$	1.4	\$	7.8	\$	9.3	\$	0.4	\$	3.0	\$	3.4	30%	38%	37%	\$	12.7
ОРС		Detector	\$	4.1	\$	5.0	\$	9.1	\$	0.2	\$	0.1	\$	0.3	5%	1%	3%	\$	9.3
	Coope	rative Agreement	\$	46.9	\$	-	\$	46.9	\$	9.3	\$	-	\$	9.3	20%	0%	20%	\$	56.2
	Operat		\$	0.2	\$	1.2	\$	1.3	\$	0.1	\$	0.6	\$	0.7	36%	51%	49%	\$	2.0
		Total OPC:	\$	52.6	\$	14.0	\$	66.6	\$	10.0	\$	3.6	\$	13.6	19%	26%	20%	\$	80.2
			_		_						_								
		TPC:	\$	164.3	\$	63.5	\$	227.8	\$	46.9	\$	22.7	\$	69.6	29%	36%	31%	\$	297.4

Notes:

¹ Labor costs presented here include all project labor from Fermilab, other DOE facilities and Universities.

Committee's Cost & Contingency Estimate

			Committee's Cost Estimate AY\$ \$M								
		В	ase w/Indire	cts	(Contingency	\$	Co	ontingency	%	Total Base
	WBS Items	M&S	Labor	Total	M&S	Labor	Total	M&S	Labor	Total	w/Indirects and Cont.
	2.0 Accelerator & NuMI Upgrades			- \$				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	2.1 Far Detector Site and Buildings			\$ -				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	2.1 Liquid Scintillator			\$ -				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	2.3 Wave-Length-Shifting Fiber			\$ -				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	2.4 PVC Extrusions			\$ -				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	2.5 PVC Modules			\$ -				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
TEC	2.6 Electronics Production			\$ -				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	2.7 Data Acquisition System			\$ -				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	2.8 Near Detector Assembly			\$ -				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	2.9 Far Detector Assembly			\$ -				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	2.10 Project Management - Construction			\$ -				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	Total TEC:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	R&D - Accelerator			\$ -			\$ -	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
OPC	R&D - Detector			\$ -			\$ -	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	Cooperative Agreement			\$ -			\$ -	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	Operating			\$ -			\$ -	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -
	Total OPC:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$ -

TPC: \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | \$ -

Notes: